

Does scalar field collapse produce “zero mass” black holes?

Patrick R. Brady

Department of Physics, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU

(December 14, 1993)

Abstract

An exact one parameter (α) family of solutions representing scalar field collapse is presented. These solutions exhibit a type of critical behaviour which has been discussed by Choptuik. The three possible evolutions are outlined. For supercritical evolution (when black holes form) I show that a quantity related to the mass of the black hole exhibits a power law dependence on α , for near critical evolution $M \simeq |\alpha - \alpha_{\text{crit}}|^{1/2}$. Based on the properties of the solution some comments are also made on conjectures of Choptuik.

The spherically symmetric collapse of a scalar field provides an ideal model in which to investigate strong field dynamics of General Relativity. This model has therefore been considerably exploited both analytically [1] and numerically [2,3]. In particular Christodoulou [1] has established many general features of the solutions to the Einstein-scalar field equations, while numerical studies [2,3] have provided some useful insights into black hole formation. Choptuik [3] has produced some of the most fascinating results thus far. His analysis of the problem highlights several intriguing non-linear phenomena and motivates the present work. This Letter describes some analytic results of an investigation of the collapse problem, and a search for a theoretical explanation of the behaviour discovered by Choptuik [3].

In order to make some progress I assume that the collapse is self-similar: i.e. there exists a vector field, ξ , such that the spacetime metric g , satisfies $\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 2g$ where $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ denotes the Lie derivative with respect to ξ . Homothetic gravitational collapse has been studied in great detail [4], and many examples of naked singularities fall into this class. In this case it allows me to obtain a one parameter family of solutions representing scalar field collapse. This family exhibits some of the properties discussed by Choptuik [3] and Christodoulou [1]. Specifically the solutions exhibit critical behaviour: if α is the parameter which characterises the solutions then when $\alpha > 0$ the scalar field collapses, interacts and disperses leaving behind nothing but flat space. The exactly critical case is $\alpha_{\text{crit}} = 0$ and corresponds to a spacetime which is asymptotically flat but which contains a null, scalar-curvature singularity at $r = 0$. The remaining case, $\alpha < 0$, corresponds to black hole formation. Unfortunately, as occurs in other spacetimes with a homothetic symmetry, the black hole eventually grows to infinite mass. It would therefore seem difficult to obtain any information about the scaling law for black hole mass discovered by Choptuik [3]. However, when the quantity M (defined in Eq. (18), roughly, the ratio of the mass at the apparent horizon, to the mass function at past null infinity) is calculated one obtains the remarkable relation

$$M = 2|\alpha|^{1/2}(1 - 4\alpha)^{1/2}. \quad (1)$$

Since $\alpha_{\text{crit}} \equiv 0$ in this solution, evolutions which are sufficiently close to critical exhibit an

approximate power law in $\alpha - \alpha_{\text{crit}}$. The exponent is significantly different from that found by Choptuik however.

I do *not* claim that the solution below is the universal strong field solution which Choptuik [3] conjectures may exist. The goal of this work is only to present the family of solutions in which some of the strong field features can be explicitly seen, a more general analysis of homothetic scalar field collapse will appear elsewhere.

The solution is most easily understood in terms of null coordinates on the radial two space. Therefore I write

$$ds^2 = -2e^{2\sigma} du dv + r^2 d\Omega^2 , \quad (2)$$

where σ and r are functions of both u and v , and $d\Omega^2$ is the line element on the unit sphere. The Einstein-scalar field equations are then

$$(r^2)_{,uv} = -e^{2\sigma} , \quad (3)$$

$$2\sigma_{,uv} - \frac{2r_{,u}r_{,v}}{r^2} = \frac{e^{2\sigma}}{r^2} - 2\phi_{,u}\phi_{,v} , \quad (4)$$

$$r_{,vv} - 2\sigma_{,v}r_{,v} = -r(\phi_{,v})^2 , \quad (5)$$

$$r_{,uu} - 2\sigma_{,u}r_{,u} = -r(\phi_{,u})^2 , \quad (6)$$

and the wave equation for the scalar field ϕ

$$2\phi_{,uv} + (\ln r^2)_{,v}\phi_{,u} + (\ln r^2)_{,u}\phi_{,v} = 0 . \quad (7)$$

Here a comma (,) denotes a partial derivative. Notice that these equations are invariant in form under reparametrizations of the null coordinates u and v . Due to the spherical symmetry of the problem it is possible to introduce a local mass function $m(u, v)$ by

$$1 - \frac{2m(u, v)}{r} := 2g^{uv}r_{,u}r_{,v} . \quad (8)$$

This mass function agrees with both the ADM and Bondi masses in the appropriate limits.

A solution to Eqs. (3)-(7) is

$$r^2 = \alpha v^2 + \beta u^2 - uv , \quad (9)$$

$$e^{2\sigma} = 1 , \quad (10)$$

where the scalar field is given by

$$\phi = \begin{cases} \pm \frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{2\alpha v - u(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha\beta})}{2\alpha v - u(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha\beta})} \right| & \alpha \neq 0 \\ \pm \frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \beta - \frac{v}{u} \right| & \alpha = 0 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

It is assumed that $\phi \equiv 0$ for $v < 0$, where v is standard advanced time. The mass function as defined by (8) is

$$m(u, v) = -uv(1 - 4\alpha\beta)/4r , \quad (12)$$

and the homothetic vector field is

$$\xi = u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} . \quad (13)$$

It was the presence of this symmetry which enabled the integration of the equations initially.

In order to discuss the problem of collapse we can set $\beta = 1$ without loss of generality.¹ The solutions now fall into three distinct classes depending on the value of the parameter α . In the language used by Choptuik [3] these are subcritical ($0 < \alpha < 1/4$), critical ($\alpha = 0$) and supercritical ($\alpha < 0$). We consider the influx of scalar field to be turned on at the advanced time $v = 0$ so that to the past of this surface the spacetime is Minkowskian and the spacetime metric is therefore C^1 at this surface. Moreover notice when $\alpha = 1/4$ the solution is simply flat space.

Let us now consider each of the three classes in turn:

(i) *Subcritical* ($0 < \alpha < 1/4$): Fig. 1 shows a conformal diagram for a typical spacetime in this class. The scalar field is initially dispersed, collapsing from past null infinity and

¹By adjusting β one obtains the time reverse of the solutions discussed below, that is one obtains white hole spacetimes, along with some other self-similar cosmological solutions.

interacting gravitationally. However, the collapse never forms a black hole. The mass function is identically zero on $u = 0$ (as it is along $v = 0$) and there is no flux of scalar field across this surface. In fact the only non-vanishing component of stress-energy at $u = 0$ is

$$T_{uu} = \frac{v^2(1 - 4\alpha\beta)}{4r^4}. \quad (14)$$

Thus the spacetime is flat for $u > 0$.

The solution represents a scalar field collapse in which the gravitational interaction is never strong enough to form a black hole. The existence of such solutions has been established previously by Christodoulou [1].

(ii) *Critical* ($\alpha = 0$): In this spacetime the initial configuration is again collapse of scalar field from past null infinity with a flat, vacuum interior region. The collapse now proceeds to a singularity at $r = 0$. Using Eq. (9) with $\alpha = 0$ we see that this corresponds to $u = 0$, and the singularity is therefore null (see Fig. 2). One easily checks that the mass function (12) tends to zero as $u \rightarrow 0$, however the curvature diverges there: $|\Psi_2| = m/r^3 \sim u^{-3/2}$ along an ingoing null ray.

It is also straightforward to check that all outgoing null rays reach infinity; there is no apparent horizon in this spacetime. In particular

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \left[1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right] = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (15)$$

More will be said about this in a moment. Finally I wish to emphasise that the spacetime does not violate the strong cosmic censorship hypothesis [5] since no observer can see the singularity without actually reaching it. Of course by waiting long enough an observer can always “see” regions of arbitrarily large curvature.

(iii) *Supercritical* ($\alpha < 0$): Fig. 3 shows the global structure of these spacetimes. In the past they behave the same as in the previous two cases, however there is now an apparent horizon surrounding a spacelike $r = 0$ singularity. Unfortunately, the mass of the resulting black hole is infinite and the entire spacetime ultimately gets trapped. These spacetimes are unphysical (as black hole spacetimes) unless the influx of scalar field from past infinity

is turned off at some finite advanced time. Something more can however be said if one examines the mass function along the apparent horizon of the spacetime.

The locus of the apparent horizon is $r = 2m$, or in terms of the coordinates

$$u = 2\alpha v . \quad (16)$$

Substituting this into (12) we find that

$$m_{AH} = \frac{v}{2} \sqrt{-\alpha} \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha} , \quad (17)$$

where the subscript AH indicates that this is along the apparent horizon. Let us define a dimensionless quantity related to the mass of this black hole as follows

$$M = \frac{m_{AH}}{m|_{u=-\infty}} = \frac{2|\alpha|^{1/2}}{\sqrt{1 - 4\alpha}} . \quad (18)$$

We notice that M exhibits a power-law dependence on the parameter α . This rings of the observation which Choptuik [3] and others [6] have made about the masses of the black holes formed in nearly critical collapse. It also seems reasonable that the quantity defined in (18) should in fact agree with that measured by Choptuik as $v \rightarrow \infty$. There is a discrepancy though, the exponent has been calculated numerically to be approximately .37 and not 1/2 as found here. Whether this has any significance is the subject of further investigations.

As a final comment on the black hole mass in near critical evolutions let me mention a possible explanation for the difference in exponents above. For a nearly critical evolution one may imagine turning off the influx from infinity at some finite advanced time $v_1 > 0$, say, then the mass of the resulting black hole will be

$$m|_{v \rightarrow \infty} = \frac{v_1}{2} \sqrt{-\alpha} \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha\beta} + \Delta m , \quad (19)$$

where $2\Delta m$ is the amount by which the apparent horizon increases in radius during the evolution from v_1 to ∞ . For black holes formed in nearly critical collapse it is entirely possible that this “correction” term dominates, since much of the scalar field remains outside the apparent horizon up to the advanced time v_1 . It would therefore seem worthwhile to estimate Δm . To date I have been unable to do so.

I wish also to point out the connection between this solution and two conjectures which have been made about the near critical evolution, and black hole formation. In previous numerical searches [2] there appeared to be a lower bound on the masses of black holes formed in supercritical evolution, however the more recent surveys of scalar field collapse [3,6] have been capable of far greater resolution and the results led to the following two conjectures: (1) Black holes initially form with infinitesimal mass. (2) The exactly critical evolution is a “zero mass” black hole.

The above solution [Eqs. (9)-(11)] clearly lends support to the first of these conjectures. Provided one cuts off the influx from infinity at some finite advanced time, it then seems that one can make the mass of the resulting black hole as small as one likes.

On the second conjecture, we have seen that the evolution does not really form a black hole at all. The spacetime contains no apparent horizon, not even at $r = 0$ as shown by (15), while the mass function actually becomes infinite on future null infinity. Thus, it certainly could not be interpreted as a zero-mass black hole. More generally I suggest that the exactly critical evolution will stand distinct from either the supercritical or subcritical cases.

It is a pleasure to thank Viqar Husain, Erik Martinez and Dario Nunez for stimulating discussions during a short visit to the University of Alberta. I also acknowledge financial support from the Scientific and Engineering Research Council of Great Britain. After the completion of this work Werner Israel drew my attention to M.D. Roberts, *Gen. Relativ. Grav.* **21**, 907 (1989) where the solution (9)-(11) was previously discussed in the context of counter-examples to cosmic censorship.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Christodoulou, Commun. Math. Phys. **105**, 337 (1986); **106**, 587 (1986); **587** (1986); **109**, 591 (1987); **109**, 613 (1987).
- [2] M.W. Choptuik, in *Frontiers in Numerical Relativity*, C.R. Evans, L.S. Finn and D.W. Hobill (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1989); A. Goldwirth and T. Piran, Phys. Rev. D**36**, 3575 (1987); R. Gómez and J. Winicour, in *Frontiers in Numerical Relativity*, C.R. Evans, L.S. Finn and D.W. Hobill (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1989); R. Gómez, R.A. Isaacson and J. Winicour, J. Comp. Phys. **98**, 11 (1992).
- [3] M.W. Choptuik, Phys. Rev. Letters **70**, 9 (1993).
- [4] M.E. Cahill and A.H. Taub, Commun. Math. Phys. **21**,1 (1971); D.M. Eardley and L. Smarr, Phys. Rev. D**19**, 2239 (1979); D. Christodoulou, Commun. Math. Phys. **93**, 171 (1984); R.P.A.C. Newman, Class. Quantum Grav. **3**, 527 (1986); A. Ori and T. Piran, Phys. Rev. D**42**, 1068 (1990); R.N. Henriksen and K. Patel, Gen. Relativ. Grav. **23**, 527 (1991); K. Lake and T. Zannias, Phys. Rev. D**41**, 3866 (1990).
- [5] R. Penrose, in *An Einstein Centenary Survey*, S.W. Hawking and W. Israel (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1979).
- [6] C. Gundlach, R. Price and J. Pullin, *Late-time behaviour of stellar collapse and explosions: II. Nonlinear evolution*, preprint NSF-ITP-93-85, gr-qc/9307010.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. This is the diagram for a subcritical evolution ($0 < \alpha, 1/4$). The spacetime is topologically trivial. Indicated are the lines $v = 0$ where the scalar field influx is turned on, and $u = 0$ where the spacetime beyond which the spacetime returns to flat space. The shaded region is where the scalar field is non-zero. $\mathcal{M}_4$ indicates regions which are Minkowski.

FIG. 2. The exactly critical solution ($\alpha = 0$). The initial configuration is similar to the subcritical case; $v = 0$ is where the scalar field influx is turned on with flat space ($\mathcal{M}_4$) to the past of it. The shaded region is where the scalar field is non-zero. Indicated is the null scalar curvature singularity. Notice that all $r = \text{const.}$ lines terminate at P , which is future timelike infinity.

FIG. 3. Supercritical evolutions ($\alpha < 0$) lead to the entire spacetime becoming trapped. The initial configuration is once again very similar to the subcritical case; $v = 0$ is where the scalar field influx is turned on. AH is the apparent horizon, notice that it is spacelike everywhere and “surrounds” the spacelike $r = 0$ singularity.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/gr-qc/9402023v1>

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/gr-qc/9402023v1>